

Texas papers-JFK assassination; Hosty-Revill HW 9/1/75

After an earlier memo on the weekend Dallas Times-Herald disclosure of the Oswald letter to Hosty I was phoned by a Houston contact because most of the front pages is sensationally devoted to a nothigⁿanti-FBI story, quite exceptionally unless there is a special reason for the Houston Chronicle to go this way -now.

Presented as new and sensational and as suppressed evidence is the old, published and testified to Jack Revill affidavit on his 11/22/63 encounter with Hosty. From recollection he has Hosty saying we knew the s.o.b was capable of it but didn't think he would. (I do think something of this sort happened but not exactly that way so Hosty could deny it under oath without fear. He did, in testimony.)

What may be new but is without significance is the registered letter covering this that Curry sent Warren. Interesting that he used that means, though. As described to me it is not in any way a new story but is given a major play. My wonder is why.

The story is by the guy who got interested through my contact and did a very critical review of the Weberman book. The interest goes back to the self-puffing by Security Associates International, probably June. The review is recent.

The Chronicle had a weekend story of which the Oswald letter to Hosty is part, I gather not a major one. I'm to get copies and can then know more and better.

(My contact also reports on questioning that while he was always in the papers before since the indictment there is virtually no mention of him in Houston now.)

I'm been preoccupied with other work, PM, and have not had time to pay attention to this, not by radio news yesterday or today or in thinking. But the fact and the timing strike me as unusual. In the little thinking I've been able to do I wonder about the possibility of CIA inspiration. (I've asked my contact to ask his reporter to check his files and the morgue for the Dallas stories not only to have copies but to see who wrote them. Agnewworth would not surprise and would bear on auspices and sources.) I recall my own elliptical suggestion in CIA correspondence that they had been set up and were being set up again. It may be irrelevant but it also may be that someone paid attention and found out that it is true and may be seeking either prevention or vengeance. I've not given though to the possibility Foreman did this.

Texas papers seemingly off on a campaign (that may have ended by now) does seem unusual. But when there are anti-FBI stories in conservative Dallas and Houston papers ~~para~~ practically over a weekend that something may be up and may be orchestrated does not seem to be an unreasonable conjecture.